# A NEW ODONTOPLEURID TRILOBITE GENUS FROM THE DEVONIAN OF BOHEMIA 

by DAVID L. BRUTON


#### Abstract

A new odontopleurid genus Isoprusia (type I. mydlakia sp. nov.) is described and assigned to the subfamily Miraspidinae R. and E. Richter, 1917. The new genus includes Odontopleura laportei Hawle and Corda, Acidaspis ursula Barrande, ? A. sperata Barrande, A. (Ceratocephala) sandbergeri R. and E. Richter, Orpltanaspis cornuticauda Erben, and Koneprusia pennata Lütke. Features of the pygidium of the new genus enable it to be distinguished from Koneprusia Prantl and Přibyl 1949, the type material of which cannot be traced. Odontopleura subterarmata Barrande, is tentatively retained in the genus Koneprusia. The type, and where possible, topotype material of these species is redescribed and figured. Evidence from the thorax of Isoprusia indicates that, in the pleural field and spines, considerable morphological modification can exist between the first formed (anterior) and last formed (posterior) segments. The relationship of the new genus to one or both of the Miraspis-Ceratocephala root stocks has been briefly discussed. A reconstruction of Isoprusia mydlakia is given.


During a visit in 1963 to the Geological-Palcontological Museum at the University of Marburg, West Germany, I found amongst the collections some well-documented odontopleurid material collected by the late Professor J. Jahn, from the Devonian Limestones of Bohemia. It seems likely that the material came to Marburg to be identified by the late Dr. Fr. Drevermann, who examined specimens for Professor Jahn on other occasions (see Jahn, 1903, p. 23, footnote).

Preparation of the soft, yellow, argillaceous limestone, revealed numerous wellpreserved cranidia, pygidia, thoracic segments and hypostomata, either retaining the entire exoskeleton, or partly exfoliated. All the exoskeletal parts are thought to represent one new species, Isoprusia mydlakia, the type selected for the new odontopleurid genus Isoprusia.

The excellent preservation and abundance of the material has allowed a fairly full diagnosis to be given, and because of this, it has been found that some of the species formerly assigned to Koneprusia by Prantl and Přibyl (1949), are best placed in the new genus as here defined.

Visits to Museums in Czechoslovakia (1962), West Germany (1963), and the United States of America (1964) have allowed me to study types and additional material of all these species.
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for reading the manuscript and for all their help and encouragement during its preparation. Mrs. E. K. Harris (Leicester) kindly prepared the text-figure from my original reconstruction.
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Terminology and techniques. The terminology used is that recommended by Whittington (1956a), but I have followed Jaanusson (1956, pp. 36-37) in using the terms rachis ( $=$ axis) and dorsal furrow (= axial furrow); and in numbering and lettering the glabellar lobes (L) and furrows (S), from the posterior forwards. Before making the photographs, each specimen was coated with a dilute 'Opaque', and then lightly coated with ammonium chloride in the manner described by Whittington (1963, 'Explanation of Plates' following p. 118). The orientation of the specimens is that used by Whittington.

## SYSTEMATIC DESCRIPTIONS

Family odontopleuridae Burmeister 1843
Subfamily miraspidinae R. and E. Richter 1917
Genus isoprusia gen. nov.
Derivation of name. Greek Isos, equal: prusia, suffix from Koněprusy, a small village in Bohemia.
Type species. Isoprusia mydlakia gen. et sp. nov.
Diagnosis. Cephalon rectangular in outline, cranidium trapezoidal; frontal glabellar lobe expanded laterally. Two pairs of lateral glabellar lobes well defined. Occipital ring strongly convex medially, with median spine which may rise straight upwards or curve backwards distally. Posterior band may or may not be obviously separated by weak furrow from remainder of ring. Eye ridge weakly convex, positioned on outer part of inflated fixed cheeks. Palpebral lobe short, with well-defined palpebral furrow; eye ovoid, non-stalked. Anterior suture gently curved forward outside and almost parallel to eye ridge. Posterior suture directed outwards and backwards approximately in line with anterior suture, and crossing posterior border so as to produce ear-like projection of the latter which is widest at the suture.

Free cheek as in Ceratocephala, with straight anterior and sigmoidal lateral outline; lateral margin considerably widened. Border with short peg-like spines directed outwards and downwards. Librigenal spine with origin inside posterior margin.

Hypostoma subquadrate, anterior margin gently curved convexly outwards; posterior margin with deep narrow median notch and lateral ears. Median body shield-shaped, gently convex; border furrow running from inside antero-lateral margins. Deepened notches may be present at antero-lateral parts of median body. Small anterior wings, lateral shoulder, and lateral notch.

Thorax of ? ten segments. Pleurae with flattened central ridge which may be weakly furrowed or smooth. Band produced into two spines, the terminal downwardly directed spine blade-like with secondary spines from edges, and the principal pleural spines apparently directed outwards and upwards on anterior segments, becoming progressively curved upwards and backwards on posterior segments.

Pygidium semicircular in outline. Rachis not reaching posterior border, composed of two obvious rings and sometimes a lower terminal portion. Principal border spine
connected to weakly raised ridge which curves backwards from first ring. Secondary border spines lacking or numerous, small downwardly directed from postero-lateral margin.

Exoskeleton granulate or finely spinose.
Discussion. The following species are now assigned to the new genus. Acidaspis ursula Barrande 1872, Odontopleura laportei Hawle and Corda 1847, Orphanaspis cornuticauda Erben 1952, Acidaspis (Ceratocephala) sandbergeri R. and E. Richter 1917, ? Acidaspis sperata Barrande 1872, and Koneprusia pennata Lütke 1965.

Geological rallge. The genus is known from the Lower Devonian (Pragian-Emsian) and Middle Devonian (Eifelian) in Bohemia; Lower Devonian (lower-upper Emsian) and Middle Devonian (Eifelian-Givetian) in Germany; Middle Devonian (Couvinian) in Poland, and Middle Devonian of Kazakhstan, U.S.S.R.

## Isoprusia mydlakia sp. nov.

Plate 55, figs. 1-20; Plate 56, figs. 1-16; Plate 57, fig. 13

Derivation of name. From the word mydlak, a Bohemian quarry term for soap-stone.
Holotype. Mbg. 398, Plate 1, figs. 1, 3, 4, 10, 11. Geological-Paleontological Institute, Marburg University.

Paratypes. Mbg. 399, Plate 55, figs. 2, 5, 7; Mbg. 400, Plate 55, fig. 8, Plate 57, fig. 13; Mbg. 401, Plate 55, figs. 6, 14, 18; Mbg. 402, Plate 55, figs. 12, 13 ; Mbg. 403, Plate 55, fig. 19; Mbg. 404, Plate 55, figs. 15, 20 ; Mbg. 405, Plate 55, fig. 16; Mbg. 406, Plate 55, fig. 17; Mbg. 407, Plate 55, fig. 9; Mbg. 408-16, Plate 56, figs. 1-16.

Material. In addition to the types, several cranidia, pygidia, one free cheek, and many thoracic segments.

Type locality and Horizon. The label accompanying the material reads, 'Menanian Kalk (zone 9 mydlak), Zlatý Kủň; Professor Jahn 1904'. This information and the profile given by Jahn (1903, p. 21, fig. 4; see also Chlupáč, 1959, fig. 7, pp. 475-6), allows the material to be accurately placed in the Devonian succession exposed at Zlatý Kunn; the zone 9 corresponding to a local lens of soft limestone near the base of the Acanthopyge Limestone, i.e. at the base of the Upper Eifelian (Chlupáč, personal communication). The occurrence in the material of Phacops cf. breviceps also supports this conclusion.

Associated fauna listed by Chlupáč (1957, p. 473; 1959, pp. 490-5).

## EXPLANATION OF PLATE 55

Figs. 1-20. Isoprusia mydlakia gen. et sp. nov., Acanthopyge Limestone (Upper Eifelian), Zlatý Kủň, Bohemia. 1, 3, 4, 10, 11, Mbg. 398, holotype, cranidium; dorsal, right lateral, oblique side, oblique posterior, and posterior views; $\times 4.2,5,7$, dorsal view, posterior view showing posterior occipital band and anterior view of Mbg. 399, cranidium with exoskeleton partly exfoliated; $\times 4.8$, Mbg. 400, slightly damaged cranidium with exoskeleton complete; anterior view; $\times 5.6,14,18, \mathrm{Mbg} .401$, free cheek and eye lobe; 6 , left lateral view; $\times 6.14$, anterior view; $\times 4 \cdot 5.18$, external surface of eye lobe, oblique view; $\times 18.12,13$, anterior and dorsal views of Mbg . 402, pygidium; $\times 4.19$, Mbg, 403, postero-lateral margin of pygidium showing secondary border spines; dorsal view; $\times 18$. 15,20 , oblique left lateral and dorsal views of Mbg .404 , internal mould of incomplete hypostoma; $\times 7 \cdot 5.16$, Mbg. 405, internal mould of incomplete hypostoma showing small depression on anterolateral part of median body; dorsal view; $\times 6.17, \mathrm{Mbg} .406$, small hypostoma, dorsal view; $\times 12$. 9 , Mbg. 407, complete eye lobe, oblique lateral view; $\times 18$.


BRUTON, Devonian odontopleurid trilobites

Description. Cranidium (Pl. 55, figs. 1, 2; Pl. 57, fig. 13) trapezoidal in outline, gently convex (sag., tr.). Glabella (Pl. 55, figs. 3, 8, 11), gently convex (sag., tr.) maximum width (tr.) at occipital ring tapering forward to slightly more than half this width at frontal lobe; length (sag.) approximately equal to maximum width. Occipital ring of length (sag.) slightly less than half total glabellar length, becoming narrower (exs.) where its lateral parts fuse with inner area of fixed cheek. Outline of posterior margin of ring strongly curved posteriorly; median part rather strongly convex (tr.) and bearing a slim occipital spine (length unknown), which arises from inside posterior margin (Pl. 55, figs. 3, 10, 11). Spine inclined backwards at approximately $70-80^{\circ}$. Occipital furrow broad, shallow and transverse medially, deepened as it becomes directed backwards and outwards around base of $\mathrm{L}_{1}$. Occipital lobes lacking. Posterior occipital band (Pl. 55, figs. $5,10,11$ ) indistinctly separated from remainder of ring; widest and vertically sloping beneath base of spine, becoming slightly narrower and turned inwards and forwards laterally. Two pairs lateral glabellar lobes, $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ the larger; both weakly convex and elongated (exs.). $S_{1}$ shallow, directed obliquely outwards and forwards, deepest between lobes becoming very shallow adjacent to dorsal furrow. $S_{2}$ shorter, very deep and slot-like (Pl. 57, fig. 13). Median lobe (Pl. 55, figs. 8, 11) gently convex (tr.) almost flat longitudinally (Pl. 55, fig. 3); frontal lobe sloping steeply downwards to anterior border furrow. Median lobe parallel-sided and separated from lateral lobes by broad, shallow longitudinal furrows which run into the curved $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ furrow thus delimiting the broad lateral expansion of frontal lobe. Immediately in front of $S_{2}$ a small prominent swelling (Pl. 55, figs. 2, 8; Pl. 3, fig. 13), which may represent a third lateral lobe. Dorsal furrow deepest between adjacent parts of fixed cheek and lateral lobes, elsewhere, weakly defined and marked only by change in slope. Fixed cheek subtriangular, tapering forward from maximum width (tr.) just posterior to palpebral lobe; moderately to strongly convex (tr.), highest point on transverse line drawn midway through palpebral lobe. Such a line passes just posterior to outer extremity of $S_{1}$ furrow and crosses median glabellar lobe at approximately half length (sag.). When cranidium is viewed from anterior (Pl. 55, fig. 8), highest point of cheek above the level of median lobe. From highest point, cheek slopes gently forwards and then more steeply downwards to eye ridge; posteriorly cheek more steeply inclined and posterior part drops vertically down to posterior margin. Palpebral lobe gently curved and sloping slightly outwards from side of and below highest point of fixed cheek; lobe separated from cheek by broad shallow palpebral furrow which runs into shallower furrow along inner side of eye ridge (Pl. 55, fig. 1). Eye ridge broad, weakly defined, and directed forward before curving around outer anterior part of cheek to merge with smooth depressed area at lateral expansion of frontal lobe (Pl. 55, fig. 8). Anterior branch of facial suture curves forwards outside and sub-parallel to eye ridge and crosses anterior border (PI. 55, fig. 8). Latter straight, gently arched (tr.) narrow (sag.) separated from frontal lobe by narrow, deep border furrow which becomes wider (exs.) and deepened just inside suture (Pl. 55, fig. 2). Posterior branch of suture continued outwards and downwards at some $45^{\circ}$ to run out and over posterior margin. Posterior border furrow shallow to lacking at inner corner where fixed cheek and occipital ring fuse; laterally, furrow deepens and curves forwards around base of fixed cheek separating it from gently convex border widest (exs.) at the suture. Posterior margin (Pl. 55, fig. 11) gently swept upwards at suture, curved under with short downwardly projecting edge to doublure. External surface of cheeks,
fronto-median lobe, and lateral glabellar lobes covered with evenly, but widely spaced spine bases; furrows, occipital ring, and posterior border smooth.

Free cheek (Pl. 55, figs. 6, 14) wider (tr.) than long (exs.), with approximately straight anterior and sigmoidal lateral margins. Anterior border area near suture narrow and convex, becoming flatter and broader (exs.) laterally, here occupying half cheek length. A broad, smooth concave area separates lateral margin from convex part of cheek; area becoming deeper and narrower (exs.) near anterior suture. Librigenal spine arising. from just inside border, its base merging with the lateral border and convex part of the cheek. Spine present on one specimen (Mbg. 407) but incomplete; apparently slim and directed straight upwards and backwards. One isolated spine curves distally at its tip. Lateral margin deep and ventrally directed immediately beneath librigenal spine ( Pl . 55, fig. 6) becoming lower and rolled under towards fronto-lateral margin. At this point, six small, short peg-like border spines (Pl. 55, fig. 14), directed outwards and downwards from upper edge. Spines extend around outer lateral area as indicated by broken spine bases, but they do not extend along the whole length of anterior margin. Eye (Pl. 55, fig. 18) ovoid in plan, situated on highest point of cheek and sloping gently outwards and downwards; non-stalked and arising directly off cheek surface.

Eye surface (Pl. 55, fig. 9) covered in small, closely packed hexagonal lenses each facet convex externally. Convex part of cheek and flattened border with regularly spaced spine bases which extend on to and down the length of librigenal spine.

Hypostoma (Pl. 55, figs. 15-17, 20) subquadrate, slightly wider (tr.) than long (sag.). Anterior margin gently curved convex forwards; posterior margin with deep, narrow notch. Median body shield-shaped, gently convex (sag. and tr.), delimited by deep narrow border furrow which runs from antero-lateral margin convergently backwards to circumscribe posterior margin of body. Short dorsally directed anterior wings; lateral

## EXPLANATION OF PLATE 56

Figs. 1-16, Isoprusia mydlakia gen. et sp. nov. Thoracic segments; all specimens paratypes. I, Mbg. 408, dorsal view of assumed first segment, showing broken base of median rachial spine, pleural area with faint furrow, and articulating flanges and sockets; $\times 5.3,5,11$, Mbg. 409; first thoracic segment; 3, 5 , dorsal and anterior views; $\times 6.11$, oblique left lateral view showing articulating flanges, tubercle-like pleural spine and short barbed terminal spine; $\times 9.2,4,9,14$, lateral, dorsal, oblique lateral and anterior views of Mbg. 410; ? second thoracic segment; note absence of median rachial spine, unfurrowed pleural area, tubercle-like pleural spine and short, downwardly directed terminal spine; $\times 4.8$, Mbg. 411; dorsal view of incomplete segment with upwardly directed pleural spine; note well-developed pleural furrow; $\times 4.6$, Mbg. 412; dorsal view of more posterior segment with lengthened pleural spine curved backwards; note longer and curved terminal spine, also pleural furrow; $\times 4$. 7 , Mbg. 413 ; similar segment; oblique lateral view; $\times 4.10,15 \mathrm{Mbg} .414$; dorsal and right lateral view of incomplete posterior segment; $\times 4.12,13$, Mbg. 415; assumed tenth thoracic segment 13 , dorsal view; $\times 4.12$, left lateral view of distal end showing the blade-like pleural spine and the long, backwardly curved, barbed terminal spine; note also articulating flanges and presence of articulating process only; $\times 9.16, \mathrm{Mbg} .416$; left lateral view of long terminal spine of a posterior segment; $\times 9$.
Fig. 17, Isoprusia sandbergeri (R. and E. Richter), Stringocephalus Limestone (Lower Givetian), Villmar-Lahn, West Germany. Dorsal view of holotype (Nat. Hist. Museum, Wiesbaden); $\times 10$. The incomplete cranidium, an internal mould, has been compressed from the left-hand side along the line of the longitudinal furrow so that the glabella has become partly pushed under.
Fig. 18, Isoprusia cornuticauda (Erben), Greifensteiner Kalk (Eifelian), Wiege near Greifenstein, Harz, West Germany. Dorsal view of holotype T.U. Ar. 1010/40, a pygidium with damaged left pleural region; $\times 10$.



margin convex and narrow anteriorly with small pointed shoulder and shallow lateral notch. Posteriorly, margin becoming wider, outwardly sloping and produced into flattened ear-like projections separated by the posterior notch. Latter does not reach border furrow. On largest hypostoma (Pl. 55, fig. 16) a short ovoid depression occurs on edge of median body opposite shoulder. Depression absent on the smallest specimen

text-fig. 1. Isoprusia mydlakia gen. et sp. nov. Reconstruction. A, dorsal view; b, anterior view of cephalon; c, left lateral view. Number of thoracic segments unknown. Approximately $\times 2$.
(Pl. 55, fig. 17), slightly impressed on the next largest one (Pl. 55, fig. 20). Median body smooth to slightly granulated; lateral margin and posterior ears very finely granulated.

Number of thoracic segments not known but the reconstruction (text-fig. 1) has been made assuming the number to have been ten. From the attitude of the pleural spines, it has been assumed that those segments which have spines directed laterally outwards or upwards and forwards belong anteriorly, and those on which the spines are directed progressively more backwards, belong posteriorly. Such an assumption seems a valid one since this is always the case in the Miraspidinae and Apianurinae Whittington (1956a), two subfamilies with genera possessing similarly shaped thoracic pleurae. Numerous fairly complete and some broken segments allow the following descriptions and observations to be made. Rachis (Pl. 56, figs. 1, 2, 5, 14) moderately to strongly convex and wide (tr.) occupying almost half entire width of segment. Posterior margin gently curved convex forward (sag.) ; articulating halfring approximately half as long (sag.) as rachial ring; anterior margin convex forward like articulating furrow, sloping backwards and becoming narrower at dorsal furrow. Pleurae horizontal and flattened; anterior segments (Pl. 56, figs. 1, 3, 4) smooth or very weakly furrowed; posterior segments (Pl. 56, figs. 6-8, 10, 13) with shallow but obvious pleural furrow. Anterior and posterior margins straight with very narrow (exs.) flattened flanges. Small fulcral
articulating (anterior) and socket (posterior) processes developed (Pl. 56, figs. 3, 11, 16). Principal pleural spine on at least the first two anterior segments in the form of a small upwardly and outwardly directed tubercle ( Pl .56 , figs. 1-5, 9, 11, 14) from the pleural surface. Immediately beneath tubercle (Pl. 56, figs. 2, 9, 11), short, tapering terminal spine. Latter downwardly directed, flattened dorso-ventrally and bearing row of small spines along edges. Two pleural segments (Pl. 56, figs. 1, 3, 5, 11) with a similar pleural spine, have a rachis like the occipital ring of the cranidium including the median spine (unfortunately this was broken during preparation, but the base can be seen). In these segments, the rachis is slightly greater than twice the width (tr.) of the very short pleural area and such proportions fit those of the occipital ring and posterior margin of the larger cranidia. For these reasons, it is thought most likely that this type of segment is the first. Segments (Pl. 56, figs. 6-8, 10, 13, 15) from positions more posterior have a rachis of the same configuration as those described for pleurae without median rachial spine but in addition, the tubercle-like pleural spine is produced into long, slim pleural spines, the lengths, curvature, and directions of which are indicated on the reconstruction. Articulating flanges and processes visible on pleurae with upwardly directed pleural spine (Pl. 56, fig. 8) while only flanges and anterior process visible on segments in which pleural spine is directed backwards (Pl. 56, figs. 7, 10, 15). Terminal spines as on anterior pleurae but much longer (Pl. 56, fig. 16) and curved backwards distally. Pleural spines circular in cross section. Pleurae of type shown (Pl. 56, fig. 13) regarded as representing the tenth segment. Pleural area, rachis, and halfring identical to other posterior segments, but pleural and terminal spine (Pl. 56, fig. 12) differ in, (1) pleural spine flattened in cross-section and blade-like; spine produced backwards and slightly upwards from fulcrum, becoming incurved distally; (2) terminal spine similar to those on other segments, but inclined backwards and almost horizontal.

On all segments, pleural area smooth or with odd spine bases; rachis with transverse row of small spine bases which extend diagonally down the lateral parts. Terminal and pleural spines apparently finely spined.

## EXPLANATION OF PLATE 57

Figs. 1, 4, 6, 7. Isoprusia laportei (Hawle and Corda), ? Suchomasty Limestones (Upper EmsianLower Eifelian), Měn̆any near Koněprusy, Bohemia. Plaster cast of lectotype, N.M.P. ČF639; cranidium, part internal mould; 1,6 , dorsal and oblique views; 4, posterior view showing posterior occipital band; 7, anterior view; $\times 3$.
Figs. 2, 3, 5, 8-12, 14, 16. Isoprusia ursula (Barrande), Upper Koněprusy Limestone, Koněprusy area, Bohemia. 2, 3, 9 , plaster cast of holotype, N.M.P. ČF635, incomplete cephalon, internal mould; 2,3 , oblique and dorsal views showing posterior position of eye and long anterior facial suture; 9 , anterior view; $\times 3.5,16$, M.C.Z. 4215, incomplete cranidium; 5, posterior view showing narrow posterior occipital band; 16 , anterior view; $\times 6.8,10$, M.C.Z. 4216 , incomplete cranidium, anterior and dorsal view showing narrow (sag.) occipital ring and backwardly directed spine; $\times 4 \cdot 5.11,12$, 14, M.C.Z. 4217, incomplete and partly exfoliated cephalon, anterior, dorsal, and oblique views showing position of free cheek and librigenal spine; $\times 3$. Schary Collection.
Fig. 13. Isoprusia mydlakia gen. et sp. nov. Mbg. 400 , incomplete cranidium, dorsal stereograph; $\times 4$.
Figs. 15, 17, 18. ? Koneprusia subterarmata (Barrande), Suchomasty Limestones (Upper EmsianLower Eifelian), Měňany near Koněprusy, Bohemia. 17, 18, plaster cast of lectotype N.M.P. ČF644a, incomplete pygidium, internal mould; 18, dorsal view; 19, posterior view; $\times 5.15$, plaster cast of paralectotype, N.M.P. ČF664b, incomplete pygidium, internal mould, dorsal view; $\times 5$.


BRUTON, Devonian odontopleurid trilobites

## Discussion of Pleural Modification

Accepting the theory that during ontogeny new segments are formed by growth to the anterior border of the hind-most somite and then released forward (Stubblefield 1926), it follows that the most anterior segments are the first formed, and the posterior, the last. Thus it is possible that the posterior segments resemble in character more closely the original pleural condition, the anterior showing some modification. In Isoprnsia mydlakia, the anterior segments either lack the pleural furrow or have it very faintly defined, while on the posterior segments it is still obviously present. On segments with an upwardly directed spine (Pl. 56, fig. 8), a pleural furrow with point of origin at the antero-lateral part of the rachis, is directed straight outwards towards the base of the spine where it becomes obliterated. Thus the pleura is divided into two bands of equal length (sag.), the spine base straddling both bands. In more posterior segments (Pl. 56, figs. 6, 10, 13), a pleural furrow runs diagonally across the pleural area and distally follows the inner posterior part of the pleural spine base. Hence the pleura is divided into two bands as before, but here the principal pleural and terminal spine both belong to the anterior band. The degree of modification is taken further in the most anterior segments (Pl. 56, figs. 1, 2, 4, 9) where the terminal spine is a downward continuation of the full pleural width, and the pleural spine is reduced to a small tubercle. Transverse sections of the segments suggest that the doublure does not extend beneath the pleura, and only the curled-down edge of the pleura forms the articulating process and socket. Such an arrangement is similar to that found in Ceratocephala laciniata Whittington and Evitt (1954, p. 59, pl. 6, figs. 5, 6). It seems reasonable to suppose that on the anterior segments, the reduction to a tubercle of the pleural spine, is an accommodation to ease of articulation and possibly enrolment, since an upwardly directed spine would interfere with the librigenal spine. In view of the obvious presence of a pleural furrow on the posterior segments, it seems unlikely that the anterior segments represent the original pleural type from which the posterior segments have become secondarily modified by the extreme elongation of the tubercle in the form of a spine.

To homologize the pleural spines in Isoprusia with those found on the pleurae of other odontopleurid genera is more difficult. In genera (Primaspis, Diacanthaspis, Leonaspis, and others; see Whittington 1956a) with a recognizable pleural furrow, the principal pleural spine, without exception, belongs to the posterior band, and a second spine, if developed, to the anterior band. Even in Ceratocephala laciniata Whittington and Evitt, the second spine ( $=$ barbed spine), belongs to the posterior part of the segment. In Isoprusia, it forms as a continuation of the full pleural width, or belongs to the anterior band. For this reason I have called this spine in Isoprnsia mydlakia terminal (not terminal in the sense of Reed 1925; Prantl and Přibyl 1949; = anterior spine of Whittington 1956a, p. 161). It has been shown that the pleural spines can belong to either band and thus it is difficult to use them for comparative morphology.

Isoprusia laportei (Hawle and Corda, 1847)

$$
\text { Plate } 57 \text {, figs. } 1,4,6-7
$$

1847 Odohtopleura Laportii Hawle and Corda, p. 156.
1852 Acidaspis Laportei: Barrande, p. 750, pl. 39, fig. 22 only.

Lectotype (Prantl and Přibyl 1949, p. 162). N.M.P. ČF639, Plate 57, figs. 1, 4, 6-7, Cranidium in limestone and still retaining part of exoskeleton.

Material. Several paralectotypes, N.M.P. ČF640; the specimen of Kegel, H.U. T292; the original cranidia of Erben, H.U. T343-4; plus topotype material from the Schary Collection. M.C.Z. 4213-14.

Locality. Měňany near Koněprusy, Bohemia.
Horizon. Not known with certainty, but most probably from the Suchomasty Limestones (Upper Emsian-Lower Eifelian).

Description. The species Isoprusia laportei (Pl. 57, fig. 1), is closest to I. mydlakia (Pl. 57, fig. 13), but differs in the following features: (1) More strongly inflated frontomedian glabellar lobe and lateral lobes. (2) The less strongly inflated fixed cheek which is flatter and narrower (tr.) and slopes less steeply down to the posterior margin (Pl. 57, figs. 6, 7). (3) Highest part of cheek and that of median lobe at approximately the same level ( Pl .57 , fig. 7), when specimen is viewed from the anterior. In this respect I. laportei resembles $I$. ursula (Pl. 57, fig. 9), but in this species the position of the eyes (Pl. 57, figs. 3, 10) and the configuration of occipital ring and posterior band (Pl. 57, figs. 5,10 ) are different. In I. mydlakia (Pl. 55, fig. 8), the median glabellar lobe is lower than the adjacent fixed cheeks. The hypostoma which Lütke (1965, pl. 22, fig. 10) figured as belonging to laportei, differs from that of I. mydlakia in having a narrower posterior notch and deeper middle furrows. The free cheek, thorax, and pygidium of I. laportei are not known.

Discussion. New collections made by Barrande (1852, p. 751, pl. 39, fig. 25) enabled amplification of the original description, and the description of a pygidium considered to belong to the species. Prantl and Přibyl (1949, pl. 3, fig. 4) also thought that the pygidium belonged to the species, but it is quite unlike any known for the genus Isoprusia and is more likely to belong to a species of Leonaspis. Vaněk (1961, p. 85, footnote) has noted the similarity to Leonaspis pigra (Barrande, 1872).

Prantl and Přibyl (1949, p. 162) assigned this species to Acanthaloma ( $=$ Leonaspis). In their remarks, they noted that the specimen figured by Barrande does not show distinctly 'The subtrigonal areas on both sides of the frontal lobe, nor the course of the anterior branch of the facial suture, which deviates distinctly from the ocular ridge'. Study of the type ( Pl .57 , figs. $1,6,7$ ) does not confirm this observation. The anterior suture is curved convergently towards the anterior border and runs sub-parallel and just outside the weakly defined eye ridge. In other respects, the glabella, frontal lobe with lateral swellings, and shape of occipital rings are like those of the other species now placed in the genus Isoprusia. Examination of the second cranidium figured by Prantl and Přibyl (1949, pl. 10, fig. 9), leads me to believe that this belongs to the genus Leonaspis.

Horizon. The exact horizon in which the lectotype was found is still not known, and it seems likely that Prantl and Prribyl were wrong in referring all of the reddish limestone material from Měňany to the Vinařice Limestone. At Měn̆any, beds from the lowest Pragian to Upper Eifelian are exposed in which the lithologies are very much alike, but careful collecting has shown the distinctiveness of faunas from the Vinařice, Suchomasty, and Acanthopyge Limestones (see Chlupáč and Vaněk 1957; Chlupáč 1959).

The specimen of Kegal from the Steinberger Kalk of Lindener Mark is exceedingly small, but it is like those figured by Erben (1952a) and Lütke (1965, pl. 22, figs. 9, 11) from the Upper Emsian of the Harz, and all seem to be indistinguishable from the Bohemian material of $I$. laportei (for remarks cf. Lütke 1965, pp. 223-4).

## Isoprusia ursula (Barrande, 1872)

Plate 57, figs. 2, 3, 5, 8-12, 14, 16
1872 Acidaspis ursula Barrande, p. 84, pl. 16, fig. 28.
1949 Koněprusia ursula: Prantl and Přibyl, p. 201, pl. 3, fig. 25.
1957 Leonaspis (L) laportei: Osmólska, p. 69, fig. 4; pl. 3, fig. 8.
Holotype. N.M.P. ČF635, Pl. 57, figs. 2-3, 9. An incomplete cephalon with damaged occipital ring and fronto-median lobe as internal mould.

Material. In addition to the type, two cranidia and an incomplete cephalon from the Schary Collection, M.C.Z. 4215-17.

Locality and Horizon. The type specimen was collected from the general area of Koněprusy, most probably from the Upper Koněprusy Limestones.

Description. Cranidium (Pl. 57, figs. 9, 11, 16) flat to gently convex (tr.); when specimen is viewed from anterior, highest point of median glabellar lobe and fixed cheeks at approximately the same level. Median glabellar lobe widest at occipital furrow, tapering forwards (Pl. 57, figs. 10, 12); dorsal furrow shallow. Lateral lobes suboval, and inflated to same level as adjacent cheek. Occipital ring (Pl. 57, figs. 5, 10) broad (tr.) very short (sag.) and gently convex. Occipital furrow shallow and only change of slope marks off ring from median lobe. Narrow posterior band (Pl. 57, fig. 5) separated from lateral part of anterior band by shallow furrow; medially, furrow shallow to lacking and separation of two bands indistinct. Ring with stout spine which curves backwards in almost horizontal plane from posterior margin. Eye ridge narrow (tr.) and disposed on highest outer part of fixed cheek. Latter very narrow (tr.). Palpebral lobe far back and directed upwards and slightly backwards (Pl. 57, figs. 5, 16), so that mid-point lies on transverse line through middle of $\mathrm{L}_{1}$. Anterior facial suture very long (exs.), runs straight forward to point opposite outer end of $S_{1}$ furrow, and then curves inwards to anterior margin parallel to and outside eye ridge (Pl. 57, figs. 2, 9, 14). Posterior suture (Pl. 57, fig. 12) at approximate right-angle to anterior suture at base of eye; from here, directed outwards and downwards across cheek to border furrow before curving around base of librigenal spine.

Free cheek as in I. mydlakia, but more convex and swollen around eye. On the holotype (Pl. 57, fig. 3) only this part of the cheek is visible, the lateral margins being obscured by matrix. The material from the Schary Collection at Harvard (Pl. 57, figs. $11,14)$ shows the sigmoidal outline and the lateral margin with at least five short fringing spines. Librigenal spine directed upwards and backwards.

Fronto-median glabellar lobe with sub-symmetrical rows (both tr. and exs.), of coarse

